### **Rubric for Evaluating Abstracts**

#### **Criteria Categories:**

1. **Relevance to Conference Theme**: How well does the abstract align with the theme of the conference?
2. **Scientific Merit**: What is the quality and rigor of the scientific content?
3. **Originality and Innovation**: How original or innovative is the work presented?
4. **Clarity and Organization**: How clear and well-organized is the abstract?
5. **Potential Impact**: What is the potential impact of the research or work on the field?

#### **Scoring System (1 to 5 scale for each criterion)**

* **Relevance to Conference Theme (20%)**
	+ 1: Not relevant
	+ 2: Slightly relevant
	+ 3: Moderately relevant
	+ 4: Highly relevant
	+ 5: Extremely relevant
* **Scientific Merit (20%)**
	+ 1: Poor quality
	+ 2: Below average quality
	+ 3: Average quality
	+ 4: Above average quality
	+ 5: Excellent quality
* **Originality and Innovation (20%)**
	+ 1: Not original or innovative
	+ 2: Slightly original or innovative
	+ 3: Moderately original or innovative
	+ 4: Highly original or innovative
	+ 5: Extremely original or innovative
* **Clarity and Organization (20%)**
	+ 1: Very unclear and poorly organized
	+ 2: Unclear and poorly organized
	+ 3: Moderately clear and organized
	+ 4: Clear and well-organized
	+ 5: Very clear and very well-organized
* **Potential Impact (20%)**
	+ 1: No impact
	+ 2: Low impact
	+ 3: Moderate impact
	+ 4: High impact
	+ 5: Very high impact

#### **Evaluation Questions**

1. **Relevance to Conference Theme**: Does the abstract address the main theme and objectives of the conference?
2. **Scientific Merit**: Is the research methodologically sound and scientifically rigorous?
3. **Originality and Innovation**: Does the abstract present new ideas, methods, or findings?
4. **Clarity and Organization**: Is the abstract well-written and logically structured?
5. **Potential Impact**: What is the significance of the research findings or work?

#### **Final Decision**

The total score for each abstract will be calculated by summing the weighted scores of each criterion. Abstracts with the highest total scores will be prioritized for oral presentations, while others may be considered for poster presentations based on their scores.